| | | 1 |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | MCINTOSH - MARCH 4, 2014 - 11:00 A.M. | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | INFORMATION AND SCOPING MEETING FOR THE | |
| 4 | MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION | |
| 5 | AND | |
| 6 | MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | In the Matter of the Application of North Dakota Pipeline Company, LLC for a Pipeline | |
| 10 | Routing Permit for the Sandpiper Pipeline Project | |
| 11 | MPUC DOCKET NO. PL-6668/PPL-13-474 | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | McIntosh Community Center | |
| 17 | 115 Broadway Northwest McIntosh, Minnesota | |
| 18 | , | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| | | | 3 |
|----|---------------|----|---|
| 1 | Justin Keezer | 81 | |
| 2 | Larry Hartman | 81 | |
| 3 | Darrel Keezer | 82 | |
| 4 | Alyssa Hoppe | 82 | |
| 5 | John Gasele | 83 | |
| 6 | Larry Hartman | 86 | |
| 7 | • | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

MS. TRACY SMETANA: Good morning everyone, and thank you for coming. I probably don't need a microphone in this room. I'm normally fairly loud, I don't usually get asked to speak up, but we'll go ahead and use it since it's here.

My name is Tracy, I'm with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission.

I'm just going to turn it off. People can hear me, right? Okay. Very good. We'll do without that, that's a lot easier.

Okay. So I'm Tracy Smetana, I'm with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, and we're here for the public information meeting for the proposed Sandpiper pipeline route.

Brief agenda, what we'll be covering today. Some introductions. We'll talk about the pipeline route permit roles and process. I will ask the company to provide a brief summary of the project. We'll have Larry Hartman with the Department of Commerce talk about the environmental analysis process. And then we'll open it up for the main event which, of course, is citizen comments and questions.

So I just like to start out with a little introduction on who is the Public Utilities

Commission anyway? 'Cause I can tell you, until I applied for a job with the Public Utilities

Commission I had never heard of it. So we regulate permitting for power plants, pipelines, transmission lines. We also deal with services for local and in-state long-distance telephone companies. And rates and services for investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities. So, for example, if those electric and natural gas companies want to change their rates they need approval from our office to do that.

We have five commissioners that are appointed by the governor, and they serve staggered terms. So unlike some other agencies you might be familiar with, where when we get a new governor we get a whole new set of commissioners and administration, ours are staggered. So we have some that were appointed by Governor Dayton and some that were appointed by governors prior.

For our commissioners, it is full-time employment. So that's also slightly different from some other government officials that you might be familiar with. A small-town city council, for example, they might have obligations for a few meetings a month and they have a real job on the

side or what have you. For the commissioners this is their real job, they're there full time. We also have about 50 staff that do all sorts of things to help them develop the record and make good decisions in the regulatory framework.

A little bit more about who's who in this process. Because, as you can imagine, there are some different terms that we use and different folks that are involved and it's helpful to know kind of who's who as we move forward.

So first off, the applicant. That's the term that we use to describe the company that's actually asking for the project. So in this case they're asking for a certificate of need and a pipeline route permit, and in this case that's North Dakota Pipeline Company, which you also might know as Enbridge.

There are two different parts of the Department of Commerce, another state agency that we'll work very closely with who play a role in this process as well.

The first is the Energy Environmental
Review and Analysis group, you might see that
abbreviated as EERA. And they are a state agency,
again, they conduct the environmental analysis, and

Larry Hartman with Commerce is here and he will talk in greater detail about what that looks like for this particular project.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other part of the Department of Commerce that plays a role here is Energy Regulation and Planning. Their job is to represent the public interest when utilities propose to make changes to their rates, services, facilities and so on.

Later on in this process we'll be asking the Office of Administrative Hearings to get involved. You might see them abbreviated as OAH. And they're, again, another state agency, independent from the Commission and from the Department of Commerce. There will be an administrative law judge, here's another abbreviation for you, an ALJ. They will hold hearings, both public hearings, so we'll be back up here again with the judge to get your input on the project, both on the need and the route. Also, they will collect facts from all of the parties -- so, for example, the Department of Commerce, from the company, and other folks -- and gather all of those facts and ultimately write a report for the Public Utilities Commission who then ultimately makes the decision on the project.

At the Public Utilities Commission there's two different folks that you might interact with. The first is me, I'm the public advisor. My job is to work with people, help you figure out how this process works, where you plug in, when you can plug in, what's most effective when you plug in. My job is not to give you legal advice, my job is not to be your advocate. My job is to help you get information, figure out where to find it, and then you can decide how you need to use that and where you need to go with it.

My counterpart in this process is an energy facility planner, and their job is on the more technical side: Assist in collecting the facts and building the record, advising the Commissioners on the various alternatives, and what the sort of consequences might be of different options available.

So why is the Public Utilities Commission involved in this particular project? Well, the statutes and rules again talk about two different pieces of this puzzle. One is the certificate of need. And the reason they need a certificate of need in order to build this project is because the statutes and rules call this a large energy

facility. So it transports petroleum, the pipeline with a diameter of six inches or more, and more than 50 miles in Minnesota. If all those things are true then the laws and rules say they need a certificate of need before they can build it. So we're going to answer the question is this project needed, okay.

Now, the other piece of that puzzle is the route permit. And, again, the statutes and rules tell us if it's a diameter of six inches or more and it transports hazardous liquid, then they need a route permit from the Public Utilities Commission before they can build anything.

I've included the citations for the statutes and rules. I know for some that seems a little overwhelming, but for some folks they want to dig in a little deeper and learn about those things so I like to provide that information for you.

So when the Public Utilities Commission is making a decision about this project, and particularly about the route, what are the things that the Commission looks at? And the statutes and rules tell us these are the factors you have to consider.

So one thing to keep in mind here is the statutes and rules don't rank these. You know, in

your head you might be ranking them as you're looking at them, and the Commissioners' job is to sort of rank them and decide which one outweighs another in a given situation. So, human settlement, the natural environment, historic and archeological resources, the economy, including agriculture, forestry, tourism, mining. We also want to look at pipeline cost and accessibility. Use of existing rights-of-way. So you might hear folks say, well, there's already a pipeline here, or there's a transmission line that goes here already so we want 12 to follow that line, so those are some things that 13 we look for as well.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also want to consider cumulative effects of future pipeline construction. And we also want to make sure that it's in compliance with other local, state and federal regulations. don't oversee everything that has to happen for this pipeline to get built. So, for example, the DNR might have a role in terms of the relationship to waterways, but we don't oversee that. certainly want to make sure, hey, company, you need to comply with whatever the DNR tells you as we work through this process.

So if you like pictures, this is a

. .

picture of what the process looks like, and it's kind of a broad overview. There are some baby steps that happen in between, if you will. But this is sort of a high level view of how things look for the certificate of need.

Remember, I said there's two different pieces. One is is the project needed. Part two is, if it's needed, where does it go. So this is talking about the need process.

So sort of the first step after the company submits an application is application accepted. And I know that term sounds confusing 'cause people will say, well, if it's accepted, what are we doing here, isn't it already a done deal? And the answer is no. Accepted just means they've contributed all the information that we need to call it an application so we can start our review process. So when we say application accepted, that's what it means, we can start the review process. So part of that will involve reviewing the facts of the case, the information the company and others have provided regarding the need.

As I mentioned earlier, the administrative law judge will get involved and hold both public hearings and what we call evidentiary

hearings. And so the public hearings are just that. We'll come out, folks will have an opportunity to talk about all the information on the record in terms of the need.

And then the judge will also hold what we call evidentiary hearings. And that's a little bit more formal process, where people will call witnesses and cross-examine them and provide sworn testimony and that type of thing. Those are open to the public as well. Typically they are held in St. Paul. The schedule for all these things has not yet been set.

After the evidentiary hearings, then the judge is going to take all the information that's been gathered through the earlier part of the process and write a report that will include some recommendations for the Public Utilities Commission. And ultimately, down at the bottom here, it's the Public Utilities Commission that makes that decision, is the project needed based on all the information that's been contributed to that record.

And as I said, the schedule has not quite been established yet, but we're anticipating that from box one to box decision, that it'll be about 12 to 15 months, okay. I guess that's a ballpark.

Now, the other piece of that puzzle is the route permit process. And you see it looks rather similar to the slide we just had up there, but we have the added little section here that talks about alternative routes and the environmental analysis of those routes. And, as I said, Larry Hartman from Commerce is going to talk in more detail about what that piece of the puzzle looks like.

And then what's going to happen is when we get down to this public hearings, the evidentiary hearings, those two processes are going to come back together. So when we come back to the public hearings, the idea is it would be about both the need and the route, so then the procedures can move forward together. It makes it a little bit more efficient for us and for you if you want to attend the meetings. You don't have two sets of meetings, you just have one set of meetings where you can talk about the entire project instead of bits and pieces.

Okay. Now, if you like a list better than a picture, this is the estimated project timeline. And, again, emphasis on estimated. The schedule has not yet been established. But based on our experience with these projects and what the

rules and statutes require, this is our best guess about when things might happen, okay.

So you can see we're right here. The public information meetings in March. There is a comment period that ends April 4th. From there the Public Utilities Commission will make a decision about which route alternatives will be on the table and kind of moving forward for further analysis. We expect that will happen maybe in May.

From there, there will be an environmental analysis published, probably around September. The public hearings and evidentiary hearings likely this fall, October-ish, perhaps. We expect the administrative law judge report in December, and then Commission decision in January 2015. Again, it's our best guess.

So as far as participating in the process. One of the ways that we do that, of course, is inviting you to come in and speak at a meeting like this. Another way that we do that is by asking for written comments. And so for folks that couldn't attend today, they maybe want to send in a written comment, or down the road there may be opportunities where we're not holding a meeting, but we're saying, hey, we're looking for help on

answering some questions, you know, folks, help us out.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so when we issue what we call a notice of comment period, this is an old one from earlier in this case, but I thought it would be an example of elements you want to look for so you can understand what you're seeing when you read these.

So the first key is the PUC docket number. That's sort of the key to everything in our So like if you worked in human resources system. the employee ID number might be the key to all of your staff, so you can identify them and keep track of them. For us it's the docket number. And with this particular project, as I said, there's two different pieces, the need and the route. And so there's a different docket number connected to each one of those. So those are key pieces of information. And when you communicate with us it's very helpful for you to include those to make sure they get attached to the right docket, the right project.

The other thing that's important is we'll identify a comment period. You know, we're not just going to ask you an open-ended question and leave that window of opportunity open forever and ever and

ever, we need to move on to the next step in our process. And so we will have an end period for comments. So if your comments come in after that end period, they're not likely to be considered because we've already moved on to work on the next step. So that's another key piece of information.

Now, the last piece that you'll want to pay attention to here is the topics open for comment. As we move through the process there's various things that we're looking for at different points in time. And so you can see at this point in time, back in November and December, we were looking for information on is this application complete on the route side and the need side. You know, if somebody sends us comments about those issues today, it's not really useful because we've already made a decision about that and we've moved on, okay. So it's important to take a look at what are the questions that we are looking for answers to right now and focus your comments on those points.

So one of the other things we're going to be talking about today is ways to submit alternative routes and route segments. And I'm not going to go into a lot of detail on this because that's sort of the meat of Larry's presentation. But they do need

to be submitted by April 4th. And as I mentioned earlier, ultimately the Public Utilities Commission will determine which of those sort of move forward for further analysis and consideration.

And for those that really want to dig into the rules and regulations, I've included the rule here as well. And those are available on the web and also typically at your public library if you want to look at those.

Now, if you're looking to stay connected to this process and you're saying, huh, I want to get more information, I want to know how I can learn more, there's a few different ways you can do that. One is you can see all documents related to this project. Now, for some folks that can be kind of overwhelming and, to be honest, not super user-friendly, because it was designed for us to use, for the company to use. It wasn't necessarily designed with, hey, we think everyday people are going to want to look at this, too. But it's our official record, so everything is in there. So if you really want to dig in and you want to see everything, this is how you do it.

I did also provide the screenshot of what it looks like, just because people do say, oh, I

couldn't figure out what to do, or it didn't seem very user-friendly, so I thought if you have a picture of what it looks like and what you're supposed to enter in there, that would be useful.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also have a project mailing list. if you don't want to go back to that source and say, hey, is there anything new, let me check up on what's going on, we have a project mailing list where you can get information about meetings coming up, comment periods coming up, when the environmental analysis is done, some of those key points along the way. We have a project mailing list you can sign up for. There were orange cards out at the table when you came in if you'd like to sign up for that. If you don't take one today and you decide later, huh, I really should have done that, you can certainly contact our docketing experts that maintain the list and their information is included here, or you can contact me and we'll make sure you get added to that list.

Now, if you think, huh, just getting information about meetings and notices and sort of those key points isn't really enough for me, I want to know more, I want to know when everything happens, we have a subscription feature where you

can sign up to receive an e-mail notice every time something new comes in. So what's going to happen here is you have to like e-mail, okay. The other thing is it could be a lot of e-mail and so sometimes people say, well, that's way too much, information overload, this is not for me. And you can always cancel your subscription, if you started out and you find it's a little bit overwhelming. And certainly if you have questions, you know, you can contact anyone on our team to get information as well.

And this is just a screenshot of what that subscribe feature looks like. It's not super user-friendly, and so this is how you would go ahead and enter your information to subscribe to this particular project.

And as I mentioned earlier, at the Public Utilities Commission there's a couple different folks you might interact with. The first is me, Tracy, the public advisor. And then my counterpart, the energy facility planner, is Scott Ek, he's not here today. But I do also have another counterpart with me today, Brian Swanson, who you met on the way in, probably, at the desk out front.

And, with that, I'm going to turn it over

| 1 | to the applicant. | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | MR. BARRY SIMONSON: Thanks, Tracy. | |
| 3 | Welcome, everyone, to Macintosh, and our | |
| 4 | presentation here today with regard to the Sandpiper | |
| 5 | Pipeline. | |
| 6 | My name is Barry Simonson, I'm with | |
| 7 | Enbridge, I'm the manager of our main line execution | |
| 8 | team out of Superior, Wisconsin. I have a panel of | |
| 9 | colleagues to my left. And perhaps we'll go through | |
| 10 | a quick introduction, give your name and function | |
| 11 | with Enbridge before I get started. | |
| 12 | MR. JOHN PECHIN: Sure. I'm John Pechin, | |
| 13 | I'm the Bemidji area operations manager. | |
| 14 | MR. ART HASKINS: Hello. My name is Art | |
| 15 | Haskins, I'm the emergency response coordinator for | |
| 16 | the North Dakota region. | |
| 17 | MR. MIKE BRADBURN: Hello, I'm Mike | |
| 18 | Bradburn, and I'm the land services supervisor for | |
| 19 | U.S. projects. | |
| 20 | MS. SARA PLOETZ: Good morning, my name | |
| 21 | is Sara Ploetz, I'm with Enbridge's environment | |
| 22 | group. | |
| 23 | MR. GREG SCHELIN: Hello. I'm Greg | |
| 24 | Schelin, I'm manager of facility execution for | |
| 25 | Sandpiper. | |

MR. MARK CURWIN: I'm Mark Curwin with our major projects execution management team out of Superior.

MR. JOHN GASELE: Hi, I'm John Gasele, I'm an attorney with the Fryberger law firm in Duluth. And I'm here to help the company with the application process.

MR. BARRY SIMONSON: Okay. Thanks.

So the Sandpiper Pipeline Project, which Enbridge has undertaken, consists of approximately 616 miles of pipeline starting from western North Dakota, traversing easterly to Clearbrook, and then south and then east all the way into the Superior terminal.

In terms of Minnesota, from the border to Clearbrook, the pipeline is 24 inches in diameter.

And then from Clearbrook to Superior, approximately 225 miles, or I should say to the border of Wisconsin and Minnesota, 225 miles of 30-inch diameter pipeline.

In terms of planning, at this point in time we've gone through many, many hours and months of environmental surveys, civil surveys, detailed design internally, as well as preparation for environmental and regulatory permits such as North

Dakota PSC -- North Dakota PSC and Minnesota PUC and other various environmental departments that are associated with the project.

In terms of construction, we're looking at a potential late 2014, '15 construction, as well as predominantly 2015 construction in the state of Minnesota. The in-service date of this project is first quarter of 2016.

In terms of routing the pipeline, there was mention before of collocating or routing pipeline with other utilities, and that's what our intention was with this project. And in looking at that map, it's not visibly showing, but there's approximately 75 percent of our route that's collocated with either Enbridge-owned assets or other utilities. And at the bottom, the budget for this project is \$2.6 billion dollars.

This map shows more of just the state of Minnesota. As you can see, just the green -- there we go. So from the border to Clearbrook there's an existing line 81, which is operated by NDPL, and that line has been in service since 1962.

In terms of routing, we're predominately routing our Sandpiper line adjacent to that line all the way to Clearbrook. From Clearbrook to Superior

the proposed Sandpiper line is headed southerly down to Park Rapids, and it's predominantly collocated with the existing Minnesota Pipeline Company, pipelines that eventually route down to the St. Paul refineries.

At around Park Rapids, Sandpiper is headed east and following an existing DC power line that's owned by Minnesota Power. And then heading southeasterly back down to an existing corridor and then ultimately back to the Superior terminal in Superior, Wisconsin.

So what are the project benefits?

There's three main points. One of those is the delivery of the oil and the -- well, where it comes from, all this is Bakken crude out of North Dakota, the western part of North Dakota around Tioga and Beaver Lodge. And being that we're transporting oil from North America, it's going to North American refineries. What that does is it stops imports from countries that are either unstable or unfriendly to U.S. interests.

Jobs. During construction there's going to be various contractors that we're going to utilize in construction, and with that comes jobs, and with that comes local jobs. A lot of people in

this area are familiar with pipeline construction. Enbridge has been in the business for a long time, we have other existing assets in here in the area. So we do expect our contractors to utilize local resources, not just jobs, but hotels, gas stations, restaurants, and the like. So that's going to be big for the area.

In terms of taxes, Enbridge pays a lot of taxes in terms of our assets in the ground. In 2011 Enbridge paid \$34 million in Minnesota property taxes. And with Sandpiper coming online, we're looking at around \$25 million annually in Minnesota for property taxes following the first year of operation.

In terms of our top goal is safety.

Safety is number one. We want to operate our systems in a reliable manner. No incident will ever be accepted to us. We continually invest in new safety technologies and training to protect our employees, residents, and natural resources. And we strive for fair and equitable treatment for landowners and stakeholders alike.

Thank you for attending and I hope we have some productive question-and-answer sessions today. Thank you.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Thank you.

Good morning. My name is Larry Hartman, I'm with the Minnesota Department of Commerce. As Tracy mentioned, I'm with the staff called Energy Environmental Review and Analysis. Also with me is Casey Nelson, who is sitting out at the table out there. Casey and I, if you have questions of the EERA staff, we would be the appropriate persons to contact.

Last night we held our first meeting in Crookston, we had about 60 to 80 people there. The meeting probably ran until about 9:45. This meeting is scheduled for three hours because we have a meeting in Clearbrook tonight so we'll try to kind of stick to the time schedule today. Last night we did have a lot of questions. A lot of the issues raised last night were impact on headwaters of the Mississippi area, crossing the Red River and the Red Lake River, and a number of other things. Wild rice, and a number of other things like that.

And just before -- I'll try to go through a few ground rules before I start. We have a court reporter here today, her name is Janet, and Janet is sitting here. And Janet will need a break after about an hour and a half because her fingers do get

a little bit tired. If you do have something to say, we'd ask you to come up to the table. And if you would -- you would not want to raise your hand, we have a speaker registration card, you can fill that out out there, I'll call your name. Otherwise it'll kind of be hands up.

We have some people who are kind of following us, which that's fine. I would prefer -- my preference is to allow the people here to speak first today. You guys can certainly speak later on, but I would like to hear from the other people first.

And when you identify yourself, please spell your name for Janet if it's tricky, and try to speak slowly and clearly also. The reason I mention that, Janet is doing a transcript. Janet will be doing a transcript of all the meetings and they will be available on eDockets for your review. They will also be available on our website and I will identify that site a little bit later on. So it's also covered in the notice that appeared in the newspapers and the notices that were sent to you about this meeting in the mail.

We have a meeting tonight in Clearbrook and then we have four meetings next week. We have a

morning meeting in Park Rapids, an evening one in Pine River, an afternoon one, morning/afternoon in McGregor, and then our last scheduled meeting right now is for Carlton next Thursday evening.

The pipeline routing rules were adopted by the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board back in 1988. And a lot of the emphasis on pipeline safety arose out of an incident in Mounds View back in the mid '80s, which resulted in a number of things that have enhanced pipeline safety and the review and permitting of pipelines in Minnesota since then.

One of those is the Gopher State One Call system.

Kind of the beefing up of the Office of Pipeline Safety and a few other things that I will mention at the appropriate time.

So as a result of that, pipelines prior to the rules taking effect were basically permitted county by county. Now we have a state process. So the state issues the permits for a pipeline that's going to be built. And, again, as Tracy mentioned, it's sequential. If the pipeline is larger than six inches, more than 50 inches in diameter, then it needs a certificate of need. The decisions are sequential. If there's a need decision, that means we'll probably then issue a pipeline routing permit.

The projects less than that do not need a certificate of need. There's another process that I won't go into called a partial exemption, we have another one of those right now for Minnesota Power converting the Hoyt Lakes coal generating station to natural gas, and it's about a 6,000-foot-long natural gas pipeline and there's only a couple landowners. So the pipeline routing rules were adopted and provides a permitting process for pipelines in the state of Minnesota, so it preempts local jurisdiction in terms of where the pipeline is built.

In spite of whether the Commission issues -- well, if the Commission issues a permit, there are also a number of other permits the company has to obtain. Now, when I say preemption, that goes to the location of the pipe. Townships still issue road crossing permits for roads and ditches, counties do the same things for roads and ditches, and there's a number of other state agencies who are involved and I'll address those later on.

So a first go-around here, Enbridge submitted an application. I don't have a copy with me, but I think there's one over there. It's a three-volume application, there's a copy out there

1 on the table for review. They have been sent, and, John, have they been sent by CD or paper? 2 MR. JOHN GASELE: CD. 3 4 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: CD, they've been sent 5 to local libraries which are listed in the notice. 6 They've been sent to all the township clerks crossed by the pipeline route so you shouldn't have to go 7 further than six miles if you want to borrow the CD. 8 9 They are available from the company on request. 10 Besides libraries, they're also available with the 11 county auditor. I don't know if they've been sent 12 to county commissioners or not. 13 MR. JOHN GASELE: Off the top of my head, I couldn't tell you. 14 15 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Okay. And there's 16 probably some others I'm overlooking right now. 17 They've been sent to some of the 18 watershed districts and water planning organizations 19 also, our state agencies. 20 So the purpose of this meeting is to 21 identify issues that will be examined as we proceed 22 in the permitting process. So as I mentioned 23 earlier, when the pipeline rules were adopted, 24 they're also approved or authorized as an 25 alternative form of environmental review. So rather

than a separate EIS process and a separate permitting process, it has been kind of folded into kind of one process. And we've permitted a number of pipelines through this process over the years and I think it's fairly effective.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the purpose of the meeting here is, I guess, twofold. One, if you're a landowner, and I'm assuming you're here because you're an affected landowner, you're assuming the existing pipeline might be on your property or crosses your property or maybe it's your mother-in-law's property, you're kind of happy about that, I'm not really sure. if you have issues or concerns with the other pipeline and you want to maybe not see those issues reoccur, again, this is an opportunity to identify the issues you think we should examine in greater detail. The application covers a lot of the Our rules specify a number of things information. they have to provide in their application, such as social economics, land use, soils, vegetation, wildlife, fisheries, groundwater, surface water, impacts on cultural resources, recreational areas, as well as concerns the individual landowners have.

So this would be an opportunity if you have concerns or comments, please submit those to

our office by April 4th, as Tracy mentioned earlier. We also have comment forms out there. They are postage prepaid, and you can fill your comments in on those, scotch tape it, and drop it in the mail and it should show up at my desk in a few days. Again, we will also accept comments in other ways and I'll go through those.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's also an opportunity for you to propose an additional line route. Now, Enbridge has identified what they call their preferred route. Under the pipeline routing rules -- and for those of you who are familiar with rules, Minnesota rules chapter 7852. And those rules are available online, I believe they're on our website also, but I should check on that. And those rules indicate, provide an opportunity for people to propose additional line Now, Enbridge has kind of given it their best guess as to where they think this pipeline should be located and they've identified a route that varies in width from 250 feet up to maybe 750, 800 feet. Under our rules the route can be up to a mile and a quarter in width, which is fairly broad. The Commission, I guess we've been going through these and trying to narrow the routes down, so Enbridge has done a fairly defined and delineated

route.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Within that route, they've identified what they call a centerline or a right-of-way. Where the pipeline parallels an existing right-of-way, they might need less space. Where they parallel an existing right-of-way such as line 81, maybe they don't need quite as much right-of-way, let's say they need another 40 feet of permanent right-of-way. They will also need what we call temporary work space, which is a kind of line for moving the equipment, to put soil, and a number of other things like that. For road crossings, at least for paved road crossings, railroads, streams, river crossings, they might need what they call extra temporary work space so they can set up their equipment for underground bores or directional drills. Which means that they'll not be doing construction by open trenching or digging, they will be boring through, and that's a several step And once that bore hole is completed they'll pull the pipe back through. So to set that equipment up and all those extra temporary work spaces are identified in maps in the application out there on the table.

So if you would -- if you think there's a

better place for the pipeline on your land other than what Enbridge has proposed, you have an opportunity to make a suggestion or work with Enbridge to adjust that line route or make your own proposal.

For example, this is an example from a transmission line project, an electric transmission line project in the Twin Cities area. So the entity proposing that had suggested this. Through the alternative review mechanism, where people can propose routes, two other routes were proposed and accepted by the Commission for consideration.

So if you want to make a route proposal, we'd ask that you try to send it to us on a map. Enbridge has detailed route maps out there that are available. And now, again, they're fairly defined. If it fits in that map you can certainly kind of draw it on that and send the map to us. Maps are available from other sources, you can use plat books, county maps, Google maps. You can get American -- or, excuse me, air photos from the government. The State of Minnesota also maintains some aerial photos, I don't know how current they are. If you have questions about that or where to get them, please talk to me afterwards or contact me

by phone or e-mail once I'm back in the office so we can provide you, I guess, with assistance on where to locate or track down those maps also.

So what we're asking you to do, if you do have a route proposal or another place you think would be a better place for the pipeline to be built, we'd ask that you identify it on a map or aerial photo with as much detail as you can. Then provide some supporting reasons as to why you think that's the better place for the pipeline to be located.

Out on the table out there we have a guidance document for route proposals. And it also contains on the back criteria that the Commission goes through. And Tracy kind of mentioned those a little bit earlier in her presentation --

MS. TRACY SMETANA: What just happened? It looks like there's no power.

(Technical issues.)

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Anyhow, I'll continue with what I was going to say. There's a PowerPoint presentation out there on the table that I'll be going through in here, I guess, also.

MS. TRACY SMETANA: You can still click through it on the computer.

3

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Anyhow, we have a guidance document out there and that kind of tells you how to propose the route. Again, please go through that, review that. If you have questions or you don't quite understand it, please feel free to give me or Casey a call and we'll provide whatever assistance we can to you on that.

I'd also encourage you, and I think it's important, you might have concerns as to where it crosses your property. That concern might translate to your neighbor, so I encourage you to work with your neighbors also. So if there are three or four of you and you have some concerns about maybe the route that Enbridge has identified on your land, if you think there's a better place for it on your land or someone else's land, I'd encourage you to work with your neighbors and see if you can come up with a collective solution. Now, again, some groups or organizations might have a different approach to where a route should be. If you're a landowner and you may not have a lot of concerns and think there's a better place for it on your land, that would be helpful for us to identify your particular concerns.

Again, there are different ways of looking at projects. Kind of look at it from a top

down, which Enbridge might do in terms of planning, but things really kind of work from the bottom up in terms of how it's going to impact you. And Enbridge, I think it's fair to say, is concerned about trying to find the best place to locate the pipeline as proposed. And I think they would like to hear from you on that, as well as the state to hear from you as to what your opinions, your thoughts are on that, or what your issues or concerns might be.

If there is specific concerns or impacts you'd like to see, again, please identify those separately. Maybe include an explanation of why the alternative route, potential impact or issue should be included in the comparative environmental analysis also.

I think I've provided some examples. For example, with regard to impact in agricultural lands, there were questions last night about soil separation, some of the other typical issues, and a lot of these are addressed in the route permit application already. But it includes, for example, drain tile repair, soil compaction, organic farmlands, irrigation systems, crop losses. They do address that and have proposals for that. Other

factors might be proposed land use plans, residential, industrial, natural resource type plans, rural water systems, roads. As I mentioned earlier, townships, counties, those entities all maintain the right to issue permits for road crossings.

Other resource issues might be how you cross streams and rivers, wetlands. Now, again, a lot of those are controlled from permits they get from the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, they have an application to DNR, and DNR will expect to, I think, if the project proceeds, would issue two permits, one for crossing public lands and the other for public crossing waters. And the particular details would be in there.

Other issues people are concerned about, forestry, clearing of vegetation, impacts on landscape, wildlife, cultural resources, archaeological. So there are a lot of buckets full of different issues that people might have concerns about as the pipeline may affect them or their perception of that, anyhow.

Once the route proposals come in -- and, again, that would be by April 4th -- we would kind of package those up in our office and present that

to the Commission for consideration. Now, again, if you say, well, put the pipeline there, we'd like to see some justification for it. You do need some, I guess, reasonable -- I guess it would help for a reasonable explanation of why you think that would be the best place other than just putting it there to get it off my property. Remember, if it's off your property it's on somebody else's property.

We'd go through and kind of vet all of those. If we think you're missing information and, again, the information threshold for you will not be as high as it is for Enbridge on these things, we'll tell you what you're missing so we might bounce it, but then we give you the opportunity to refile that and we'd help you and assist you on how to do that. Then we would present those to the Commission.

And already I've received a couple of proposals a little bit further east, I've received a number of e-mails, those will all be posted to eDockets and to our website. For those comments that I have received so far, we will wait and collect and sort through all of those and kind of group them by category so you're not just kind of looking at everything, so we'll have some structure to what we file and provide to the Commission. And

the Commission will actually determine what routes are to be considered at the public hearing. And that would also determine what route or what route options we look at in the comparative environmental analysis.

The comparative environmental analysis is an assessment that examines the impacts of routes accepted for consideration at the public hearings as well as other potential impacts. Now, some people just may want to suggest comments, they have comments they'd like to make. That's fine, that would probably come under the category of other impacts.

Route proposals, you're free to make them. If you choose not to, that's fine also.

Again, if you do choose to make a route proposal,

I'd encourage you to work with your neighbors as it may have some impact on them also.

Again, as Tracy mentioned, there will be a public hearing presided over by an administrative law judge. That judge will be Eric Lipman, who was recently reassigned to this docket.

And Tracy mentioned docket numbers. Now, there are two docket numbers -- actually, there are three docket numbers right now. The certificate of

need is 13-473 and the route permit docket is 13-474. The ALJ also assigns a docket to it also. Typically, the ALJ will file everything on both the Commission-maintained dockets, but you can also check the Commission docket where a lot of the exhibits will be filed also once we get into the hearings later on.

So, again, that public hearing will be an opportunity for you to present testimony and evidence into the record. Again, the comparative environmental analysis would also be available prior to the public hearings. So after the Commission approves the routes to be considered and we've kind of determined what issues we'll be examining in greater detail, later on then, it will take three to four months to do the comparative environmental analysis. Then other state agencies who have jurisdiction or permit authority may also participate in the process.

I know that last night I think there was a representative from DNR at the meeting and I expect someone from PCA tonight, and I know Department of Ag will be at some of the meetings next week. So besides the Commission-issued permit, the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources issues

permits for crossing of public lands and waters, water appropriation permits or hydrostatic testing. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency issues storm water runoff permits, water discharge permits. The Minnesota Department of Health has standards for distances from petroleum pipelines and water wells, which is set at 100 feet. MnDOT issues permits for crossing of roads, state highways. Again, county jurisdiction exists for the country roads, and then township roads.

Minnesota Department of Agriculture will be at the meetings next week. They are responsible for authorization and approval of the agricultural impact mitigation plan, or agricultural protection plan. There is a draft of that in the application right now, which outlines Enbridge's practice of crossing and restoring and minimizing impacts to agricultural land.

Again, as Barry mentioned earlier, the Office of Pipeline Safety also has a role.

The Commission issues a permit and those conditions cover a range of things related to right-of-way preparation, construction, and restoration. Once those activities have been completed, the company can ask us to terminate

O

jurisdiction over the permit. And that's fine, and not that many companies have done that so far.

But basically once the project, or I guess the right-of-way has been restored, we no longer have an ongoing responsibility -- or the Commission doesn't really have an active responsibility at that point.

The agency that kind of steps in after us would be the Minnesota Office of Pipeline Safety.

They also have an interest in the project. They will be somewhat involved in the permitting process through the Commission, but then they have an ongoing responsibility for inspection of the pipelines during construction and annual inspections through the Office of Pipeline Safety.

Now, I mentioned Pipeline Safety.

Pipeline Safety occurs at different levels. There's a federal Office of Pipeline Safety and they're responsible for administration of the pipeline safety rules, which are in Minnesota -- or not Minnesota, U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Title 49 and parts 192 for gas and 195 for the liquid lines.

The Minnesota Office of Pipeline Safety is an authorized agent of the federal government so they can inspect both intra- and interstate

primarily includes exclusively gas that is regulated by FERC, the state does not have anything to do with interstate natural gas pipelines in terms of routing or permitting. Pipeline Safety nonetheless is a designated agent of the federal government and acts on behalf of the feds for inspection of all liquid lines as well as natural gas pipelines in Minnesota.

Now, just by background, Minnesota has about 10,000 miles of high-pressure pipelines.

About 5,000 miles of crude oil lines, which includes petroleum product pipelines also. About 5,000 miles of natural gas pipelines also. There are also about 60,000 miles of natural gas distribution lines. I don't know how many meters there are in the state, but the Office of Pipeline Safety has responsibility for the safety of all of those pipelines so they tend to be fairly busy. They do have regional offices, they have a couple in northern Minnesota, so if the project is built they'll be out monitoring construction activities for compliance with the federal standards also.

Again, if the PowerPoint were working,

I'd be showing you our website. And it's

mn.gov/commerce/energyfacilities -- well, you can

20

21

22

23

24

25

read it so I won't. And we have the application posted on our website and we broke it down so it's very kind of discrete, assuming some of you might have dial-up services, so all the complete aerial photos are there and they're listed by county, by township, and by milepost also. So rather than going in and getting 50 megabytes, maybe you will only want to get four or five megabytes. advise you if you want to print off the color version and what's best -- oh, it's important, if you're going to send me a photo, please send it to me as a PDF file. If you're going to mail it in color that's fine. If you have a color photo and fax it to me it comes to me as black and white, which means I can't read anything if you draw on it. I don't want you to make that mistake because you will get a call saying send me something else.

So we'll take the comments by U.S. mail, e-mail, fax, and we have the form out there. I think you can also file comments electronically on our website also.

Now, as Tracy mentioned, eDockets is a good place if you want everything. Well, a lot of people don't have an interest in everything. Our website tries to, I guess, have what we consider the

more important stuff or at least the stuff that comes from our office that's important to us, anyhow, and we'll do regular postings on that.

As I indicated, I have a number of comments already, e-mails, petitions, those will be posted once we get them all grouped and bundled and that will be sometime after April 4th.

I think with that I'll perhaps try to conclude this. I'm here to hear what you have to say as to your comments about where it is or what you believe the impacts are, and Enbridge is also willing, they have a panel here, to respond to your questions also.

Again, we try to ask you to limit your comments to the issues that you'd like to see considered in the comparative analysis or route alternatives also.

So, with that, anybody from the public -I don't have any speaker cards from anybody yet.

Yes, John.

MR. JOHN GASELE: Hi everybody. My name is John Gasele, I introduced myself earlier. But there are a few folks that came in later on, I'm an attorney from the Fryberger Law Firm in Duluth. I'm here to help the company out with the application

process.

I thought we might go back through and just give you a quick overview of the panel for those who arrived late and tell you why we're here.

North Dakota Pipeline Company brought out its panel of experts. These are the folks that are responsible for designing the project, overseeing the construction, going through the environmental review process, for working with landowners, for dealing with emergency response plans, and the overall strategic plan for why the project needs to be built. So they brought these folks out today to describe the pipeline to you, to tell you about where information is at in the record for you, to point you to where you can find additional information, if we can we can give you more information about some things today. We're here to hear what you're interested in learning more about.

As Larry and Tracy mentioned, it's a scoping meeting, it's a very early stage in the process. We're not here today to discuss -- or debate the merits of the project with you, that happens in the context of the administrative law judge's hearings. This is a fact-finding mission for us as much as it is for you.

So we'd like to hear about issues that are important to you. We'd like to know what information you'd like to see come into the record down the road. If you have questions about your property, we did bring some folks here today that can answer those questions for you both up here at the table and in the back.

And thank you for coming out today and we look forward to hearing from you.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: And who would like to ask the first question? Come on, guys. You're away from your wives, speak up. No questions?

Yes, sir.

MR. CORKY KLEVEN: Corky Kleven,
K-L-E-V-E-N. I'm the county highway engineer for
Red Lake County.

I have been through the last several pipelines coming through our county, and as part of that we've been the coordinator for all the road permits and the local county and townships, and I would offer that assistance and coordination again.

The second comment I would like to make as part of the environmental review process, as we do our projects there are three items of concern in the county, according to the environmentalists.

25 If you have any questions for me I'll be

The first one is a white prairie orchid, which I do not believe exists in our county, much less is important. There are two species that are listed as either endangered or threatened. The prairie pocket gopher, and if there's anybody in here who's worried about pocket gophers, please raise your hand, otherwise I would say we are not. The other one is the migratory brown bats. We're going through environmental screening and processes to make sure that we're not having any impacts on the bat population. I wish you well with that one. If you find them, you tell me where they are.

I would say previous performance of Enbridge and your contractors, I've been through the last two, I'm hoping for a threepeat. You've done very well, you have restored everything from the standpoint of roads, drainage, et cetera, and you are about the least problems we have in our roads here. You've done very well restoring those and I appreciate that.

The fourth one is I fully support your routing on the existing line that you have. I think it gives the most benefit and probably the least cost.

1 around, but I do appreciate the informational 2 hearings. 3 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: I have a question. Τ 4 do other types of energy facility work also, and I 5 know in some cases where we've had road development 6 agreements with developers, and sometimes townships 7 choose to represent themselves. Otherwise I know they defer to an agreement with the county to act on 8 9 their behalf. Have all the townships given you authority to act on their behalf or have some kept 10 11 it? 12 MR. CORKY KLEVEN: I guess I wouldn't say 13 there's been anything formal about it, but I will 14 have it included in the township officers meeting 15 minutes two weeks from now, and if that does not 16 happen, I'll let you know. 17 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: No, that's fine. 18 Sometimes townships prefer to act independent of 19 county. 20 MR. CORKY KLEVEN: We only have two 21 townships. If they are not, I'll let you know about 22 that. 23 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Thank you. 24 MR. CORKY KLEVEN: Thank you. 25 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: I'd like to follow up

on what he said, and this goes to depth of burial. The Federal Pipeline Safety rules require a depth of burial of a minimum of 36 inches. And that's from the top of the pipe to the top of the ground. Minnesota adopted a different standard a number of years ago, I believe it was 1979, if I remember correctly, and requires that depth of burial of pipelines on agricultural land to be at a minimum depth of 54 inches from the top of the pipe to the top of the ground. That also applies to drainage ditches and roads also.

Now, the road permit authorities can establish their own standards. A lot of times, Enbridge will always check, too, if you have ditch plans and deep clean the ditches, that's a useful piece of information for Enbridge to know and they'll try to go below whatever the future ditch line is by several feet. And that's on an individual basis for each ditch and with the appropriate permitting authority also.

Now, if you as the landowner -- sometimes counties might prefer to keep the pipeline the same depth as the other one there when they are paralleling. For the federal standards, I'm not familiar with the depth on it. Enbridge, if you

sign an easement agreement, may ask you to sign the waiver, and it's a waiver that you can waive the depth of burial requirement and has to be so stated in language and requires it to be initialed by you separately so you clearly understand what the depth of the pipeline will be on your property. It's up to you if you want to sign it or not. If you choose not to, it should be at a minimum depth of 54 inches then. If you sign it, it will be at least 36 inches. If you have future plans for drain tile or anything else like that, you'd want to let them know where there's drain tile, they'll probably try to maintain a foot of separation between the top of the pipe and the drain tile at its lowest point. So I just wanted to mention that.

Barry, do you have anything you'd like to say about drain tile at all?

MR. BARRY SIMONSON: First of all, I want to thank Mr. Kleven. You haven't been contacted yet, we do have ongoing right-of-way contractors as well as people back at our office that are looking into permits with each county, township, state level. So you'll be hearing from us soon. I appreciate your comments also.

In terms of contact with landowners, at

1 this point in time we do have agents out from our right-of-way contract department that are dealing with landowners in terms of acquisition. 3 4 they're really trying to find out on their lands, 5 what special features do they have. Is there drain tile? We know in North Dakota, on the eastern side of North Dakota there is drain tile. Is there drain 7 tile here in the western part of Minnesota? 8 9 probably is. So we're trying to gather all that 10 data so that we can evaluate the appropriate 11 construction measures so that we, A, miss the drain 12 tiles, if we can find them, or B, if in the event we 13 did have to remove them temporarily we'd be able to 14 fix that back to a condition so that they're 15 optimal. 16 So that's really all I had.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Thank you.

Any other questions? Any of the landowners have questions at all? Any other questions from anyone else?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHN GASELE: If you are a landowner with a farming operation, I'd encourage you to look, in the application itself there's a document called the Agriculture Protection Plan that's been developed in connection with the Minnesota

1 Department of Agriculture. And it talks a lot about methods for crossing the soils, for preserving 2 3 topsoil, making sure that drain tile is maintained, 4 and just generally preserving agricultural lands. There is a lot of information in that document. 5 if you have an interest, that's a good place to start. 7 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: And I believe that's 8 Appendix C in the application, if I remember 9 10 correctly. 11 Michael. Michael, might I ask you, as a

favor or courtesy, I know you made your statement last night, which is fine, I don't need to hear the same thing eight times. The record would reflect it once, 'cause I'm not going to summarize it eight So if you have something new to say, fine. If you have the same thing, if you could do an abbreviated version.

MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Yeah. Yeah, no, I understand that.

Hello again. I still have a number of questions about the routing process. Not only the routing process but the existing route and in both past and future references on this route.

A lot of it, as you've already caught on

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of my questions and comments, will be in regards to environmental purposes. You know, on where the route is going, especially, i.e., the headwaters of the Mississippi.

But throughout, I mean, even in this area, you know, hearing endangered species of pocket gophers, I grew up trapping gophers for farmers, you know, getting 25 cents a pair of feet. You know, I'm thinking, you know, even that, you know, does anybody do that anymore? I don't know. It's a side bar of Michael Dahl here.

And then the brown bats, you know, again, those are environmental things that we need to take into consideration. You know, within standards, you know, they do aerate the soil. They do help move the soil. Bats, they control the bugs and different things. Everything has its purpose when we look into the environmental issues.

When I'm looking at this, and my questions are numerous, as you guys know. Okay. What I want to know is, one, because I'm feeling that in looking at the materials provided to landowners and materials provided to people, that it's very crow (phonetic). Which I understand. You guys are a business, you aren't going to tell us

these are the risks that we bring to your area, it's your liability and responsibility to take those risks and search out those risks are on the people.

I realize that.

Looking at that, okay, the question that I have is in regards to the environment, primarily the wild rice, the Lady's Slippers, the Norway pine, or the red pine, as I know it as. All of these state treasure of the state of Minnesota, is there somewhere or has there been a look into how many of those things are along these proposed routes? How many of our state treasures are along those? We're going to go question by question here.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: I'd like to respond to a couple of the points you raised. On the previous pipeline project for Enbridge, we had a permit and the permit addressed a number of things. For example, we had to put in a turkey mitigation plan and that was to address the concern associated with that. We had a calcareous fen plan, we had a number of plans in the permit. And those things are imposed by the Commission.

You know, it's important that -- Enbridge is certainly aware of those things, I think they tried to acknowledge or address those issues. If it

is a matter of concern, I appreciate that. However, when the Commission looks at it, we look at conditions to include in the permit and some of those things would be permit conditions. And that's how the state would perhaps respond to some of the things you're asking about. So I don't know if that's germane. Obviously, Enbridge has taken a number of things into consideration.

Now, you know, how many exactly of this or that? Well, they've got a pretty good idea of what's out there in terms of road crossings, river crossings, stream crossings, and other things that are affected or impacted by the project. And so a lot of those things will just be addressed through permit conditions if the permit is issued for it.

MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Okay. So has Enbridge looked at these things? Is that in your -- in your -- can I find that somewhere if I go to Enbridge, or North Dakota Pipeline being the same thing, can I find that information?

MR. JOHN GASELE: I think we'll ask Sara to describe just generally what the environmental information report is in the docket.

MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Yeah, I have a lot of questions for Sara now.

MS. SARA PLOETZ: Yep.

MR. JOHN GASELE: You know, I think you identified a number of things to address as the review process moves forward, sort of scoping comments, rather than, you know, I don't think you need an exact count of species right now. But, you know, impacts to that species of tree in general is a good thing to look at in the scoping process as we move ahead. So, with that, I'll turn the mic over to Sara.

MS. SARA PLOETZ: Can everyone hear me all right in the back if I don't use the mic?

Again, my name is Sara Ploetz. Good morning, Michael, I appreciate you being here.

What I'll do is just kind of give you a general overview of what Enbridge does for consultations and surveys for threatened and endangered species.

So we do identify in our environmental survey corridor, and that's outlined in the application and the environmental information report to be anywhere from 250 to 450 in width. In consultation with really the two main entities that we work with for biological surveys or threatened and endangered species would be the U.S. Fish and

Wildlife Service at a federal level, and the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources in regards to state listed species.

So we have reached out to both of those agencies early in April of 2013, prior to conducting our field surveys, to find out from them known occurrences of threatened and endangered species within the state and the counties that we're crossing. As well as to outline our survey methods and protocols, so how we're going to go out and actually do these surveys. And the methods are approved by those agencies to make them comfortable about the data collecting and is appropriate for the way they would like to review it when we get in the consultation process.

So we have been engaged with them and we have received a lot of information from both of those agencies as to the species that they're concerned about and they provided what they would like us to survey for. So that's the first step. And that's information described in the environmental information report.

And regarding surveys, I don't have specific numbers that I can provide at this time.

And we are moving forward with consultations that

1 would then play into the permitting process with both of those state and federal threatened and 2 3 endangered species as we move through this 4 permitting process, as well as the license to cross 5 public lands with the Department of Natural 6 Resources and the license to cross public waters. 7 So the surveys that were conducted this 8 past summer absolutely do tie into the routing. we are taking those into consideration and we've 9 10 worked with the appropriate entities to identify 11 them and make sure that we are addressing them. 12 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Okay. So in these --13 in the environmental service corridor, you said? 14 MS. SARA PLOETZ: Environmental survey. 15 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Survey what? 16 MS. SARA PLOETZ: Environmental survey 17 corridor. 18 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Okay. And that can be 19 found within Enbridge documents? 20 MS. SARA PLOETZ: Yep. 21 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: So with that, then, 22 and looking at that, are there also, with all of the 23 other current pipelines that are in existence, be 24 it -- being you guys are here, Enbridge itself, with

the current pipelines that are within Enbridge's

25

responsibility, are there any studies showing the environmental impacts of existing pipelines on what's happening in those areas as well? You know, has it had any impacts on the environment, just the pipe itself, I'm not even talking about the leaks right now and spills that have happened along those. Upsetting the soil..

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I understand, you know, they're doing the best that can be done, you know, in removing the topsoil and trying to put it back where it was, you know. But growing up on a pipeline, it's changed. You know. No matter what you do, if you upset that, if you flip that soil upside down, you're going to put it back not the way it was so it is going to You're doing the best that you can to change. prevent the change, I understand, but has there been any studies and are those also available for us to reference in what's happened to the environment in existing pipelines while looking at this current route?

MS. SARA PLOETZ: What I can address for you, Michael, is that we often do long-term monitoring after construction and that is part of the permit conditions through the agencies that we work with. We do continue to look at the areas that

2

4

3

5

О

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we construct to ensure that we have restored the land to preconstruction conditions in the area where we have the temporary impacts. So specific studies would reference these long-term monitoring that's required by the agencies and entities.

MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Okay. So given, again -- I mean, there's so much because this is the routing process that we're in and it is still kind of speculation and figuring stuff out, you know. What happens then is Enbridge's stance, you know, even any other place that upsets the natural order of things, like going through a wetland, preserving another spot. Or if you cut down X amount of trees, you plant X amount of trees and all that kind of Where can I find that on what Enbridge's thina. plan is on if there are trees? Because, really, unless you know the area, you know, and I grew up around here, the Sandpiper, I know that area, in my The Clipper I know really well through Cass area. County and Beltrami County, I grew up there, you know, and I see firsthand how it's changed, that corridor of pipe. So is there, you know, is there somewhere that we can see that, you know, on what's being done to preserve? 'Cause that's our, you know, that's what northern Minnesota is known for.

You know. We are pretty much the last of natural -- of the natural world.

MS. SARA PLOETZ: Sure. You've got a couple of items in there that I'd like to address.

First of all, we do have right-of-way staff that go out and work with the individual landowner to determine areas on their property that are of concern, or features they would like to make sure are protected. And that's communicated in our construction line list to our contractor. And that might include areas that you're discussing right now. So that's one part of the process.

You mentioned wetlands, so I'll address that next. That would be compensatory mitigation and working with the Army Corps of Engineers.

That's part of their federal process and permit requirements for any permanent change in wetland types that may be occurring along the construction route. So we do provide mitigation and they determine what that mitigation looks like, and that's a ratio, and if you're changing from one type of wetland to another. So that's part of the Army Corps of Engineers process, and we do also work with the local government units through that process. So that's kind of wetlands mitigation and how that's

accounted for in the process.

And the third part is, in regards to tree impacts, I would just touch upon, Enbridge does have a voluntary neutral footprint program. And really the goal is to hold our footprint, construction footprint, to 2009 levels. It's a commitment that we've made to plant a tree for every tree that's removed during construction of a certain size, so it would be like a marketable or sellable size tree. The trees are not always necessarily replanted in the area that they're removed. But understanding the importance that they play, we have committed absolutely to doing that. And that program is, like I said, voluntary, and we understand the importance of it.

It also is preservation of acreage of lands as well as regenerating whatever use of -- kilowatt of energy for every -- what will generate a kilowatt of renewable energy for every kilowatt we use.

MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Okay. Also going along with the routing process and looking at the route and, there again, there's a lot of responsibility on the common public to really research a lot, you know. And as Tracy said, a lot

1 of it is not user-friendly, you know. But in looking at the route, a lot of the route of the 2 3 Sandpiper, once you get into really rural areas, you 4 know, we're looking at tax forfeiture lands in the 5 county. Where does that process lie, who has the -and who do you consult with when you're wanting to go through wetlands? 7 In my own mind, Hubbard County, which 8 9 will be next week, but that's right at the 10 forefront. But I know there's other tax forfeiture 11 lands along the route as well. How do you get the 12 easement, how does that process work when you're 13 working with tax forfeiture land? 14 MS. SARA PLOETZ: I can't speak 15 specifically to easements, I can say --16 THE WITNESS: Or right-of-ways, or who do 17 you get the permission from in tax forfeiture for 18 that area? 19 MS. SARA PLOETZ: Sure. The Minnesota 20 Department of Natural Resources does, through their 21 license to cross public lands process, they 22 administer the tax forfeiture lands. 23 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Okay. 24 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: In some cases

counties also administer tax forfeited lands, so it

25

1 might be two levels. And generally the money that reseeds from that is for the school districts. 2 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: 3 Okav. 4 MR. MARK CURWIN: Like we were talking 5 about last night, Michael, we will identify who is the owners of the lands and whether it's a private 6 landowner or whether it's been tax forfeited. 7 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: It's my understanding 8 9 that tax forfeiture land is actually state land. 10 State/public land. 11 MR. MIKE BRADBURN: Some of the state tax 12 forfeited land is administered through the counties 13 also. 14 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, could you 15 tell me -- I don't know who you are. MR. MIKE BRADBURN: 16 Mike Bradburn. COURT REPORTER: And can you repeat what 17 you said, please? 18 19 MR. MIKE BRADBURN: I said that some of 20 the state tax forfeited land is administered by the 21 counties. 22 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: And administered 23 meaning -- like, who is the actual -- I administer 24 for my children. My children are mine, okay. So 25 that's what I'm asking for, in that metaphor. Ιs

1 the state -- is the county tax forfeiture land actually state lands that are entrusted in the 2 3 state, say here in Polk County, Clearwater County, 4 you guys handle this, but the state handles that? 5 MR. MARK CURWIN: In some cases that's correct. MR. JOHN GASELE: And in others the DNR 7 8 will be involved. I think it depends largely on the 9 parcel. 10 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: The reason I ask that 11 again is because it comes back to the whole treaty 12 consultation thing. That's where, as sovereign 13 nations, the consultations and the negotiations are 14 strong, stronger, and apply to state and federal 15 land. So that's where we're still trying to figure 16 out, you know, I mean, nine out of ten people in the 17 world have no clue about the trees within the 18 region. Again, it's going to fall back to 106, but 19 this is what I'm trying to figure out. 20 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Michael --21 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: You know, state lands 22 and --23 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Michael, a lot of 24 times the state lands are managed primarily for 25 forest production, beyond organized territories, so

it's considered merchantable timber on a lot of those lands and that's what it's managed for. So whether Enbridge builds the pipeline or not on those lands, the timber is more than likely going to be cut at some point in time.

MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Is that another reference to a good criminal, bad criminal kind of thing?

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: No, it's just a fact.

MR. MICHAEL DAHL: So that's what I'm asking, though, state lands, county lands, how does this process work?

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Michael, I'd like to stop you on that point because we're not here to really debate that, that's nothing to do with scoping. If you want to direct it to us in terms of a scoping comment, fine, I would appreciate that and we'll look into it. But it doesn't do any good to ask Enbridge the question because it's going to be the state's comparative environmental analysis.

MR. MICHAEL DAHL: That's why I'm asking, I'm asking the question. My understanding was that this was an informational thing and asking questions. You know, I'm asking questions to try and understand so when we make more comments and we

make comments that we're understanding what we're commenting on and those kinds of things and who we make our comments to. You know, I understand Enbridge's role, I understand that, they're a business, they're a corporation.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: And they'll approach the appropriate entity who manages that land. Now, I was involved with the Alberta Clipper project, and so if I go back and look at that project now in hindsight as to what was done, okay, the project was built. I believe it required in the neighborhood, if I remember correctly, if I add up all the permits issues, either by the feds, the state, the counties, townships, watershed districts, I think it is probably -- I want to say about 450 some different permits they had to obtain or acquire to build that pipeline. So there's a lot of permitting that goes into it at both the federal, state, local, and township level.

In terms of feedback, we had monitors out there, so I got kind of reports every day. So irrespective of what was done or what wasn't done, in terms of there are a lot of permit conditions they had to comply with, which they normally do.

In terms of compliance, I think they've

met their regulatory requirement with regard to what the permits expect of them. And if something goes wrong, then I might get feedback on that. To date, I haven't really had much feedback.

Now, with regard to landowners, I believe there are 12, 13, 1400 landowners in that project. I basically have had, I think, issues not with them, but there were several landowners who probably have ongoing issues. But for the most part the company's come back and asked landowners to sign a release indicating the work has been performed in a satisfactory fashion.

John, you filed the last two annual reports, I don't remember what percentage of the landowners had signed releases to date, do you?

MR. JOHN GASELE: No, off the top of my head, I don't.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: But it's quite high, and I have the numbers probably back in my office.

MR. MICHAEL DAHL: This is Sandpiper?

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: No, I'm saying

Alberta Clipper. So in terms of looking at that,
you know, as an entity, they have a lot of issues,
the same issues are being dealt with again. Perhaps
new ones will surface also, which is fine, but

| 1 | there's typically a way of dealing with all of those |
|----|--|
| 2 | things either through the permits they need to |
| 3 | obtain or the issues raised and addressed. |
| 4 | Now, again, we've had more people come in |
| 5 | here. I'd like to hear from the landowners again. |
| 6 | MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Okay, yeah. Quick |
| 7 | question. |
| 8 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: So we've heard your |
| 9 | comments, I'd like to give other people the |
| 10 | opportunity to |
| 11 | MR. MICHAEL DAHL: One more question, |
| 12 | then, to get an answer. Who issues the okay on tax |
| 13 | forfeiture land? |
| 14 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: DNR, the land for |
| 15 | public lands and waters. |
| 16 | MR. MICHAEL DAHL: So on the tax |
| 17 | forfeiture lands, it's the DNR that says, okay, you |
| 18 | can go through this tax forfeiture lands. |
| 19 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: The ones that they |
| 20 | administer or manage. The county on ones they don't |
| 21 | have responsibility for. |
| 22 | MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Oh, boy. |
| 23 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: For example, Carlton |
| 24 | County, to my knowledge, manages some tax forfeited. |
| 25 | MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Okay. All right. |

1 Well, thanks again. I'm getting a little more 2 understanding, I'm getting there. I appreciate your 3 answers tonight. We're still looking for answers 4 from last night's questions, but we'll get there. 5 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: I have a question, Michael. Have you looked at the application? Have you read it or reviewed it? 7 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: I tried. 8 It's confusing. It's really, really confusing. That's 9 10 why I'm asking the questions. 11 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Actually, a lot of 12 the information is there. 13 MR. MICHAEL DAHL: Yeah, a lot of it is, but these questions are ones that aren't answered in 14 15 that. Yes, I have read it, yeah. And I do research, you know, and I'm doing my best to try and 16 17 understand for people that don't have a clue. 18 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: All right. Thank 19 you. 20 Thank you. MR. MICHAEL DAHL: 21 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Maybe I didn't 22 mention this earlier. I guess I did mention it. 23 Janet needs a break, and we've been at it for about 24 an hour and a half. So, Janet, about 10 minutes? 25 15. So why don't we come back at -- I have 15?

1 12:26 on my watch, so how about 12:41.

There is beverages out there, and cookies, and they look pretty good. I've already taken my two cookies, so.

(Break taken from 12:27 to 12:48.)

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: I've got three speaker cards. I'll just call Renee Walker.

MS. RENEE WALKER: Hi. I'm from Cloquet, my name is Renee Walker.

You know, can you guarantee 100 percent that it will not affect the water that you guys do plan to go through? Because a lot of the waterways are, you know, the clearest lakes in Minnesota. And we are on the largest fresh waters in the U.S., and the trail that you plan to build your pipeline on affects 90 percent of the world's fresh water. And if that gets contaminated, have you thought about the global effects that that would have?

MR. BARRY SIMONSON: I could probably address that. From a preparation perspective with engineering and construction, we do a very detailed process in terms of planning, planning our project out. When I say that, I talk about all the materials that we use with the pipe, the steel pipe. The quality inspections at the mill, down to the

fusion epoxy that we use. The appropriate wall thicknesses that we use based on regulations from the federal side, as well as industry standards. So we use high-grade materials.

Also, in terms of the specifications that we use are world class, in our view, as well as from the Pipeline Hazardous Safety Administration. So in terms of the preparation piece, yes, we do a very rigorous job. In terms of preparation with our other quality, the environmental group, regulatory engineering and construction, we deal with all the appropriate regulatory agencies for the construction methods that we utilize based on the ecologically sensitive areas, waterways, rivers, all that. So that when we do go into construction and we inspect the pipeline that's being built, that ensures the quality and integrity of the asset with the operations group when the pipeline does go into operation.

MS. RENEE WALKER: Okay. So your safety rating, I know it's in the 99 percentile. What is the math based on that for how many, like, barrels that were pumped compared to how many that were spilled? What is the -- how did you come up with that figure?

MR. JOHN GASELE: I don't know that anybody here is going to have that math for you. If you look at the application itself, in section 0270 of the certificate of need application, there's a lot of information about preventative measures for maintenance and inspections, so that might be a good spot for you to go to to look for information about that subject.

MS. RENEE WALKER: It's .07 percent, what's that percentage of spillage that that fact was based on?

MR. JOHN GASELE: Again, the application does have the release history information in there, so I'd refer you to that document. I wouldn't be able to give you that math off the top of our heads. But that information is in the record in the certificate of need application, specifically 0720.

MS. RENEE WALKER: Okay. And also, as far as like the crude oil, the environmental impact study, it has not been done in this area. It has been done on the petroleum product, and the fact that it kills all living things. Can you guarantee one hundred percent it will not affect our ecosystems, our wildlife around us?

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Renee, I guess I'd

like to offer a comment here. You know, Enbridge is in the business of building pipelines. They're also in the business of managing those pipelines and operating them safely. If you look at statistics, about 67 to 75 percent of pipeline incidents are caused by third party damage. So irrespective of what Enbridge does from a safety perspective, if something is going to damage a pipeline it's probably an outside source not related to Enbridge. They're in the position of having to respond to that event or occurrence when it happens.

Now, if you're looking for certainty or guarantees, there are none. Everything is based on risk aversion in our society. Ask insurance companies, actuaries, you know, everything is based on percentages. Again, it's their intent to operate the pipeline safely.

Now, the fact that incidents may happen. If they do, an incident happens in any number of things in our life. I can drive as safely as I want to, I can be a very defensive driver. But if some yahoo is coming on the road the other way texting or smoking or putting makeup on and they run into me, well, I pay the penalty for that. Maybe they're paying the penalty, too.

| 1 | So in terms of guarantees, there are no |
|----|--|
| 2 | guarantees for anything we do in our lives. The |
| 3 | fact that we're held accountable for things that we |
| 4 | do, they're also held accountable with regard to |
| 5 | complying with the Office of Pipeline Safety |
| 6 | regulations, and if there are damages, the response |
| 7 | for the cleanup of those damages also. |
| 8 | MS. RENEE WALKER: As far as that goes, |
| 9 | isn't it if it's under five barrels they don't |
| 10 | have to report it? |
| 11 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Again, it's five |
| 12 | gallons. |
| 13 | MS. RENEE WALKER: And if it's under |
| 14 | that, what do they do? |
| 15 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: If it's under five |
| 16 | gallons? |
| 17 | MS. RENEE WALKER: Nothing, they don't |
| 18 | have to clean it up? |
| 19 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: If they're aware of |
| 20 | it they're going to clean it up. |
| 21 | MR. JOHN PECHIN: I'm John Pechin, |
| 22 | P-E-C-H-I-N. |
| 23 | We always clean everything up. So if it |
| 24 | doesn't meet the threshold, we don't just say, okay, |
| 25 | and leave it that way. That all gets cleaned up. |

| 1 | MS. RENEE WALKER: Aren't you still |
|----|--|
| 2 | cleaning up the Kalamazoo incident still? |
| 3 | MR. JOHN PECHIN: Yes, we're still |
| 4 | working at that. |
| 5 | MS. RENEE WALKER: Okay. Thank you. |
| 6 | That was it for me. |
| 7 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: The next speaker, |
| 8 | Justin Keezer. |
| 9 | MR. JUSTIN KEEZER: Hello. My name is |
| 10 | Justin Keezer, I'm from Nashwauk, Minnesota, I live |
| 11 | in Menahga, Minnesota right now. |
| 12 | COURT REPORTER: Can you spell your name |
| 13 | for me, please? |
| 14 | MR. JUSTIN KEEZER: J-U-S-T-I-N, |
| 15 | K-E-E-Z-E-R. |
| 16 | Traditionally, every year, I harvest. |
| 17 | And all of the water that you guys are running your |
| 18 | pipeline through is all connected. |
| 19 | How can you guarantee that what you're |
| 20 | doing isn't going to affect my rights, our rights? |
| 21 | I mean, that's something that belongs to our people |
| 22 | and that's how we survive. How can you are you |
| 23 | going to be able to guarantee 100 percent that |
| 24 | that's not going to affect our rights, our water, or |
| 25 | our way of life? |

MR. JOHN GASELE: Well, we're at a scoping meeting right now, and I think that that's been an issue identified yesterday as something you folks would like to see reviewed in the comparative environmental analysis. So we may not be able to give you an answer on that subject right now.

But, as I said at the start of the presentation, this part of the meeting here is just to gather ideas and gather people's thoughts on what should be reviewed as the process moves forward.

We're just at the very initial stages right now.

And that's something that I think will probably be developed as the application process goes forward.

MR. JUSTIN KEEZER: And there's also, beyond the wild rice, I spent the better part of 20 years working for the Department of Natural Resources as a firefighter during the summertime and I know that there's hundreds of native indigenous prairie grasses that grow around here and this is the only place in the world that they grow. And a lot of that is we use traditionally, what is the impact on that, how is that going to be the overall environmental impact and how is that going to affect us? Because that's how we survive, you know. You guys might survive some other way, but this is how

we survive. Are you going to guarantee that, that if you guys have a spill and it rubs off, you know, eliminates all of that, are you guys going to guarantee our way of life?

MR. MARK CURWIN: Regarding the native prairie grasses, those are the types of issues that would be reviewed in the 106 process. That's government to government. So the United States government will consult with your government, your sovereign nation, to identify any resources and concerns that they have. Things like what you're mentioning, those will be taken into consideration, then, with how we go about constructing the pipeline.

happen? As Mr. Hartman just indicated to the previous speaker, of course not. We're all human and none of us are infallible. Can we do whatever we can to ensure something doesn't happen? Yes. And we do. And as Mr. Simonson said at the beginning, our number one priority is to operate our system safely and reliably. Our goal is to have zero incidents on our system. Are we perfect yet?

No. Are we better than we were before? Absolutely. And our statistics, not just for our own assets, but

1 our industry show that we are getting better at this 2 every year. If something were to happen we would take 3 4 full responsibility for it. And, frankly, the 5 evidence of that is marginal. I understand we're still there and, yes, we're still working with the regulators to ensure that any impacts that have 7 occurred because of that incident we're taking care 8 9 of. And we're still there three and half years 10 later and that's the commitment to the communities 11 that we operate in. 12 MR. DARREL KEEZER: I just have one 13 Can you guarantee my way of life? question. 14 MR. MARK CURWIN: I just said nobody can 15 guarantee --16 MR. DARREL KEEZER: You just got done 17 saying --MR. MARK CURWIN: We take 18 19 responsibility --20 MR. DARREL KEEZER: Can you ensure my way 21 of life back to me? And my children's way of life? 22 My great-grandchildren's way of life? 23 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Sir, would you 24 mind --25 MR. THOMPSON: I just had to ask him on a

question he just answered a little while ago, and -MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Sir, Mr. Keezer is at
the table here.

MR. DARREL KEEZER: I'm a Mr. Keezer, too, sir.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Okay. Well, I'm going to call on you next, also, so if you'd wait your turn, I'd appreciate it.

Justin, I have a couple questions for you, if you don't mind. When you mentioned wild rice, are you talking about cultivated wild rice or wild wild rice?

MR. JUSTIN KEEZER: I'm talking about our wild rice.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Michael raised the issue last night and I did call the Department of Agriculture this morning to ask them about that. I think the study I referenced last night had to do with the impacts of sulfites on wild rice, and that might have been handled more by the Pollution Control Agency. And I think for Ag they had indicated DNR might have some responsibility, so I'm trying to get that sorted out. But I was trying to distinguish between the different types of wild rice, just so --

| 1 | MR. JUSTIN KEEZER: Well, there's only |
|----|---|
| 2 | one wild rice. |
| 3 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Well, the market |
| 4 | might indicate that there's more than one type. |
| 5 | MR. JUSTIN KEEZER: There is, but this |
| 6 | is this is the rice that's ours, that we harvest |
| 7 | every year on an annual basis. |
| 8 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Okay. Thank you. |
| 9 | MR. JUSTIN KEEZER: Thank you. |
| 10 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: The other card I have |
| 11 | is from Darrel Keezer. |
| 12 | MR. DARREL KEEZER: I have nothing at |
| 13 | this moment. Thank you. |
| 14 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Thank you. |
| 15 | MR. DARREL KEEZER: I just had one |
| 16 | question, I said what I wanted. |
| 17 | MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Does anyone else have |
| 18 | any questions? |
| 19 | MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: I suppose I'll ask |
| 20 | some questions. I'll try to be more brief than I |
| 21 | was yesterday. My name is Alyssa Hoppe, I won't |
| 22 | spell it, I think you've got it. |
| 23 | So, Mr. Hartman, you had said that |
| 24 | Enbridge is asking landowners to work together in |
| 25 | their community to provide alternative routes if |

they so choose; is that correct?

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Yes.

MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: And so landowners are expected to work together without compensation to provide alternative routes for your company.

And something I learned last night from Willis Mattison of the Friends of the Headwaters is that there are GIS shapefiles that have not yet been released by Enbridge to the public, due to the Freedom of Information Act, which states that because of national security issues Enbridge is not required to release that information. That was news to me, you know, that there is a national security issue around the location of this proposed pipeline. So my question is, who is that risk to and what is that risk?

MR. JOHN GASELE: Yeah, the designation that you're asking about is called Critical Energy Infrastructure Regulation. Those are regulations that the federal government promulgated about a decade ago and they apply to a number of different energy projects.

And it has to deal with the security of the nation's energy infrastructure, be that power lines in some cases, characteristics of other energy

projects, and it also actually does apply to certain data files related to a pipeline project like this.

We do have maps out there that provide all that information. And it's a federal law that says these are protected bits of data so we can't release that

publicly.

What I can say is if you're interested in putting in a route alternative, we do have some maps available out there, you can print them off the websites that both Tracy and Larry had mentioned. You can find them on the disks that are in the libraries, the soil water conservation district offices. And what is required to submit a route alternative is not the data from that shapefile. It's a line that you would draw on a map and say I think that this is a better route because of A to Z.

MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: So it's not just the line, right, this is a pipeline that will eventually be, you know, potentially going through this land. So it's not just a line on a map. And that information would be useful. And I feel like that was avoiding the question. And maybe you can't answer it since it's a federal law, but I guess I'm just confused as to what is the national risk posed by providing the public with that data?

MR. JOHN GASELE: I guess that would really be a question for Department of Homeland Security. I'm sorry, it's a federal law.

MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: That's fine. It just seems like it would be useful information to people who want to really consider and compare different routes. And I work with a number of landowners, farmers, and different organizations who are working on this. We've created that GIS tracking system for the pipeline in Carlton County already, and we intend to do it for the rest of the pipeline. Like Willis Mattison was saying, it is unfortunate that you guys are requiring landowners to do the extra work that will eventually be made public anyway.

MR. JOHN GASELE: Just a process clarification. The comparison of the route alternatives that folks submit to the route that's been proposed by North Dakota Pipeline Company is actually the responsibility of the state. We don't do that work, it's not something that a citizen is required to do. That's all performed by the Public Utilities Commission and the Department of Commerce.

MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: Right. And I realize you guys are not required to do that. I simply ask because, in the best interest of your company, it

I've

seems like it would make sense for you guys to work 1 more directly with the community members that are 2 really interested in this issue. 3 4 And I'll end my comments by saying that, 5 yes, there are no guarantees in life, but if we don't put this pipeline in we can guarantee that it 7 won't spill. MR. LARRY HARTMAN: I'd like to follow up 8 9 on a couple of points. 10 MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: Okay. 11 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: If you go to the web 12 page of the Office of Pipeline Safety --13 MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: Okay. MR. LARRY HARTMAN: You go in and track 14 15 where pipelines are at the county level by mileage, 16 by type, by company, a number of other factors. 17 all the information is there, it's broken down at 18 the county level. 19 MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: Right. 20 MR. LARRY HARTMAN: You can get an 21 overview map. You can register with the Federal 22 Office of Pipeline Safety to gain access to the 23 registration system. It's not that hard to do, you

need an e-mail address, they'll send you a password

if you passed whatever requirements they have.

24

25

managed to do it, I guess, but I seldom use it.

MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: Can you tell me the name of that? Because I think I actually tried to do that and I think it told me that I couldn't participate in that because I wasn't a party in cases. Maybe I'm just doing it wrong.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: That I don't know. I was able to get it and I just did what they asked. I think it asked a few questions, it's been years, and I think I've only used it once or twice, so I don't find it to be a lot of value to me. Now, if you're proposing something, you know, the Data Deli files are available to anybody who logs into the DNR site.

MS. ALYSSA HOPPE: Okay. Well, thank you for your time, all of you, I appreciate it. And I hope that we really can continue to work together more in the future. Because it's, I believe, in your corporation's best interest to work with the citizens that are concerned about this project.

MR. LARRY HARTMAN: Thank you.

Are there any other comments or questions regarding route proposals? Comments by the April 4th deadline.

If not, we'll draw the meeting to a

close. And you're free to grab cookies or whatever else you'd like. Enbridge representatives will probably be here if you have questions of them, I'll be here for a while longer for questions also.

If you're so inclined, we will have the meeting in Clearbrook tonight, either with a projector or without a projector, I don't know. If you have a light bulb, bring it along, otherwise we won't have one.

Again, if you have any questions, I've got my business card out there, my e-mail address is on it, my cell phone number. For those of you who are busy during the day and can't call me, I do take calls on my cell phone in the evening. I realize -- and I do that just to accommodate people because I know you're busy also and generally people don't abuse that so I don't mind doing it. If you have any questions between now and April 4th, any time after April 4th, feel free to contact me or Casey Nelson out there at the table also.

Again, I'd like to thank you for attending and, again, I'll be here for awhile if you do have any other questions.

Thank you.

(Meeting concluded at 1:04 p.m.)